

TRANSLATION

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 11365p	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/DE2004/002424	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 02.11.2004	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 07.11.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC . B65D41/04 B29C43/18 B29C43/14 B29C31/04		
Applicant AMCOR Limited		

1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>11</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau</i>) a total of <u>6</u> sheets, as follows: <input type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). <input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b. <input type="checkbox"/> (<i>sent to the International Bureau only</i>) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) _____, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the report <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/002424

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **language**, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
- ☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
- ☐ international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))
- ☐ publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)
- ☐ international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the **elements** of the international application, this report is based on *(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report)*:
- ☐ the international application as originally filed/furnished
- ☒ the description:
- pages 1-31 as originally filed/furnished
- pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____
- pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____
- ☒ the claims:
- nos. _____ as originally filed/furnished
- nos.* _____ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
- nos.* 1-26 received by this Authority on 24.08.2005 with letter of 24.08.2005
- nos.* _____ received by this Authority on _____
- ☒ the drawings:
- sheets 1/11-11/11 as originally filed/furnished
- sheets* _____ received by this Authority on _____
- sheets* _____ received by this Authority on _____
- ☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs _____
- ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
- ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*): _____
4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
- ☐ the description, pages _____
- ☐ the claims, nos. _____
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs _____
- ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): _____
- ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*): _____

* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/002424

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1.	Statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
		Claims 1, 14, 20, 26	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
		Claims 2-13, 16-19, 21-23	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	YES
		Claims 1-26	NO
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)		
1.	Reference is made to the following documents:		
	D1	US-A-3 325 035	
	D2	US-A-6 142 325	
	D3	US-A-4 021 524	
	Claim 1		
2.	D1 discloses (see column 4, line 41 to column 6, line 22 and the drawings) a:		
	a)	method for forming a sealing and occluding zone which is provided with a compound in a cap 80 with an inner panel made of a metallic material (see "steel blank 80"), wherein	
	b)	two different methods for applying two compound layers are successively used (see figures 5 and 6: "spray nozzle 83" and "dispenser 24"),	
	c)	for applying a first compound 92 using the first method and for applying a second compound 90 using the second method,	
	d)	the compounds 92, 90 being different (see "foamed polyvinylchloride 90" and "non-	

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	<p>foaming plastisol 92"),</p> <p>e) the two compound layers 92, 90 extending in different geometrical areas of the cap 80 in the edge section thereof with sealing and occluding zones (see figures 1 to 4).</p> <p>3. The method as per claim 1 thus lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).</p> <p>4. D2 also discloses a method involving the essential aspects of features a) to d) specified above (see column 3, line 23 to column 4, line 34; column 6, lines 41 to 57 and the drawings).</p> <p>Independent product claims 14, 26</p> <p>5. The same objections as those raised against claim 1 apply.</p> <p>Claims 2 to 13 and 16 to 19</p> <p>6. The features of these claims add nothing inventive, since they contain purely structural details which are already known fully or in part from the prior art or fall under that which a person skilled in the art routinely does on the basis of familiar considerations.</p> <p>Independent device claim 20</p> <p>7. D3 (see column 3, line 44 to column 3, line 29 and figures 1 to 4) discloses:</p>

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/002424

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	<p>a) an annular punch 14 for shaping and displacing a compound pre-form in a substantially cylindrical compound layer 16 of an occluding zone 19 of a cap 20 in the edge area thereof (see figure 1), wherein</p> <p>b) the compound pre-form is shaped essentially only in the axial direction as a compound which is applied to the periphery of an apron area of the cap 20 (see figure 2), wherein</p> <p>d) the annular punch 14 has a trunk section and connected thereto an end section, the end section being narrower than the trunk section (see figure 2: gradual tapering of 14), wherein</p> <p>e) the annular punch 14 has a radially outer, axially extending recess for receiving and changing the position and shape of the pre-form of the applied compound in the apron area of the cap 20 (see figures 2 and 4: uppermost tapered step of 14), and wherein</p> <p>f) the end section forms a blocking element which prevents the compound pre-form from flowing radially inwards over a radially inner end of the end section during the change (see figure 2, the upper section of the punch 14 works with the upper part 21 of the cap 20 to prevent the material 16 from flowing radially inwards).</p> <p>8. The subject matter of claim 20 thus lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).</p>

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	<p data-bbox="418 352 704 380">Claims 21 to 23</p> <p data-bbox="302 457 1333 730">9. The features of these claims add nothing inventive, since they contain purely structural details which are already known fully or in part from the prior art or fall under that which a person skilled in the art routinely does on the basis of familiar considerations.</p> <p data-bbox="302 800 1240 926">10. Claims 1 to 26 meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(4) with respect to industrial applicability.</p> <p data-bbox="418 995 553 1022">Clarity</p> <p data-bbox="302 1100 1373 1520">11. Claims 14 and 26 were drafted as separate, independent product claims. However, they actually appear to refer to one and the same subject matter and clearly differ only in that they give different definitions of the subject matter for which protection is sought. The claims are therefore not concise. For this reason claims 14 and 26 do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6.</p> <p data-bbox="302 1589 1354 1919">12. The terms "Area2", "Area3", "moulding method", "moulding compound method" and "moulded compound" in the claims and the description have no generally recognised meaning and leave the reader uncertain as to the meaning of the technical features in question. As a result, the subject matter is not clearly defined (PCT Article 6).</p>

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Claim 1

13. Claim 1, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement for clarity (PCT Article 6), since the feature "two different application methods" is not clear. Figures 6a and 6b show that what happens is a compression moulding of "compound A" using punch 50 and a compression moulding of "compound B" using punch 40, i.e. two of the same compression moulding method or two of the same shaping method. The term "application method" is not used correctly. It is not clear how the "formation of sealing and occluding zones" can be achieved merely by applying sealing compounds A and B, that is without a shaping method.
14. Claim 1, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement for clarity (PCT Article 6), since the feature whereby "the two compound layers (A, B) extend in different geometrical areas (Area2, Area3) of the cap in the edge area (R) thereof with sealing and occluding zones (10D, 10V)" is not clear. In order to obtain a continuous seal, it appears essential for the two compound layers to extend in "adjacent" areas.
15. Claim 1 does not clearly define the term "edge area". According to claim 1, the term "edge area" covers the zones described as "Area 2" and "Area 3" or 10D and 10V, that is the area radially outside a covering reflector or panel; see also

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	<p>page 1, line 21 of the description. According to claim 14, however, the term "edge area" covers only the occluding region 10V (see claim 14, line 16).</p> <p>Claim 2</p> <p>16. Claim 2, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement for clarity (PCT Article 6), since it is not specified how the "formation of a sealing and occluding zone" can be achieved by rotation. The application method involving injection followed by rotation of the cap is aimed at positioning "compound A" in the cap, not at shaping. The claimed "formation of a sealing and occluding zone", that is the compression moulding of "compound A", as specified in the description, cannot be achieved by rotation of the cover, since compound A would be pressed by the rotation against apron 4.</p> <p>Claims 6 to 9 and 24, 25</p> <p>17. The features in method claims 6 to 9 and 24 to 26 relate to a cap, rather than providing clear delimitations for the method itself.</p> <p>18. It is not clear from claims 6 to 9 how a groove or a combined groove 22, 20a, 20 can be produced directly under the cap 1.</p>

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	<p data-bbox="418 352 992 380">Product claims 14 to 19 and 26</p> <p data-bbox="302 457 1336 583">19. The embodiments as per figures 5a to 12b are not covered by the scope of protection of claim 14, since they do not show a peripheral groove.</p> <p data-bbox="302 653 1354 930">20. In contrast to the embodiments in claims 14 to 19 and 26, the reference signs 20 and 21 do not appear to depict a peripheral channel in the drawings. In addition, feature a) in claim 14 is unclear, since no overlap of layers A and B in a groove is visible in the drawings.</p> <p data-bbox="418 999 954 1026">Independent product claim 26</p> <p data-bbox="302 1096 1354 1325">21. Claim 26, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement for clarity (PCT Article 6), since it does not disclose the feature of "two layers of compound", which is indicated in the description (see page 2, lines 36 and 37) as being essential.</p> <p data-bbox="302 1394 1373 1671">22. Since independent claim 26 does not disclose this feature, it does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6 in conjunction with PCT Rule 6.3(b) that each independent claim must include all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.</p> <p data-bbox="418 1740 837 1768">Device claims 20 to 23</p> <p data-bbox="302 1837 1354 1917">23. Claim 20, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6, since it is missing</p>

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	<p>features that are essential for defining the invention (see page 2, lines 36 and 37, "two layers of compound").</p> <p>24. Claim 20, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6, since feature (iii) is unclear. Structural features relating to the front section which acts as a "block" and which define the interaction between that section and the cover or a counter mould in order to achieve the desired effect (blocking) are missing.</p> <p>25. Claim 20, as currently worded, does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6, since the wording "shaping and displacing" is not clear.</p> <p>Same terminology</p> <p>26. Claims 1 to 26, as currently worded, also do not meet the requirement of PCT Article 6, since they do not use the same terminology:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- the edge area is defined differently, in claim 1: "edge area (R)" = "sealing and occluding zones (10; 10V, 10D)" = "geometrical areas (Area 2, Area 3)",- the occluding area is defined differently, in claim 1: "Area 3", "occluding zone 10V", in claim 7: "apron 4",- the sealing area is defined differently, in claim 1: "sealing zone (10V)", in claim 7: "edge (3a)".

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/002424

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
-----------	--

Additional points

27. Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite documents D1-D3 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.
28. Contrary to PCT Rule 6.3(b), claims 1, 14, 20 and 26 are not drafted in the two-part form.